

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/451,628	11/30/1999	AVI TEPMAN	AMAT/4285/MD	9301
7590 06/28/2002 PATENT COUNSEL MS/2061 APPLIED MATERIALS INC			EXAMI	NFR
	RS DEPARTMENT		ALEJANDRO M	ULEKO, LUZ L
PO BOX 450A	A CA 05052		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLAR	A, CA 93032		1763) /
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	$\mathcal{O}I$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory A	Action
------------	--------

Application No.	pplicant(s)	
09/451,628	TEPMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Luz L. Alejandro	1763	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a CC Ex

1	conditi	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
		PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
	a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) 🛭	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
	have be 37 CFR (b) abov	706.07(f). tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee tension fee tension in the final office action; or (2) as set forth in the final office action; or (2) as set forth in the final office action; or (2) as set forth in the final office action; or (2) as set forth in the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
	2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d	f) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
		NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
	3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
	6.	raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
l		The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
		Claim(s) allowed:
ļ		Claim(s) objected to:
l		Claim(s) rejected: 1,8,11-16,18-22,28 and 29.
Ì		Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	8.	• • • •
	9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	10.□	Other: GREGORY MILLS
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) • 009/451,628





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the insertion of the word "each" prior to "chamber tray", in all the pending independent claims, raises a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that Rubin et al. does not teach show or suggest one or more process chambers disposable about a transfer chamber, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Rubin et al. shows, for example, a coating cahmber in figure 7, in which it is clear that the wafer 188 can be transfered from one side to the other using an arm 180. Therefore, this chamber can be broadly considered a transfer chamber. Furthermore, the claim requires that one or more process chamber are disposable about the transfer chamber. It is clear that there is both a cleaning chamber and a baking chamber disposable about the above mentioned transfer chamber, thus meeting all the claim limitations. With respect to Lee et al. reference not showing a plumbing tray, applicant is directed to the advisory action mailed 12/03/01 and the final rejection mailed 04/22/02 for a discussion on this argument.